Large deviation for return times in open sets for axiom A diffeomorphisms

Renaud Leplaideur, Benoit Saussol

LARGE DEVIATION FOR RETURN TIMES IN OPEN SETS FOR AXIOM A DIFFEOMORPHISMS

RENAUD LEPLAIDEUR & BENOÎT SAUSSOL

ABSTRACT. For axiom A diffeomorphisms and equilibrium state, we prove a Large deviation result for the sequence of successive return times into a fixed open set, under some assumption on the boundary. Our result relies on and extends the work by Chazottes and Leplaideur who where considering cylinder sets of a Markov partition.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that for any given measurable and ergodic dynamical system (X, T, μ), and for any set A with positive μ-measure, the Kač theorem implies that the sequence r_A^n of return-times into A by iterations of the map T satisfies

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{r_A^n(x)}{n} = \frac{1}{\mu(A)}.$$

The Large Deviation Principle we are interested in, gives estimates for the measure of the set of points whose nth return time is far from the asymptotic value; namely we want to show the existence of the *rate function* Φ_A such that for every $u > \frac{1}{\mu(A)}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu \left\{ \frac{r_A^n}{n} \geq u \right\} = \Phi_A(u)$$

and for every $0 \leq u < \dfrac{1}{\mu(A)}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu \left\{ \frac{r_A^n}{n} \leq u \right\} = \Phi_A(u).$$

If this holds, we will say that the sequence of return-times into the set A satisfies the LDP for the measure μ. In a more restrictive case, if this property only holds for $u \subset]\underline{u}, \overline{u}[$ with $\underline{u} < \frac{1}{\mu(A)} < \overline{u}$, we will say that the sequence of return-times into A satisfies a LDP for the measure μ *near the average*.

A powerful method in Large Deviation Theory is to prove a *level 2 large deviation* result, at the level of empirical measures, and then extract from this abstract result some precise information about our particular sequence (See e.g. [5]). Although this method would certainly lead to some result, it is not straightforward since the return time into a set is in general not continuous (it is even unbounded). This was already the case for

Date: Submitted September 3, 2007.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 37B20, 37C45, 37D20, 37D35, 60F10 .
Key words and phrases. large deviation, return time, thermodynamic formalism.

cylinder sets considered in [2], but here the picture is even worse since we are considering non-rectangle sets.

Another common and more naive method is to compute the *cumulant generating function* Ψ_A, defined by the following limit (if it exists)

$$\Psi_A(\alpha) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int e^{\alpha r_A^n} d\mu,$$

and show that it is continuous and convex. Then it is straightforward to derive the relation between these two functions : they form a Legendre transform pair, namely

$$\Phi_A(u) = \inf_{\alpha} \{-\alpha u + \Psi_A(\alpha)\}. \tag{1}$$

This is the approach that we are adopting in this paper.

Our result applies to Axiom A diffeomorphism and equilibrium state of Hölder potential, and for the sequence of successive return times into an open set A that satisfies a condition about the smallness of its (non-Markovian) boundary. Taking a Markov partition and the corresponding semi-conjugacy, we will state the result for subshifts of finite type, keeping in mind that this really corresponds to a result for open sets on the manifold.

2. Statements

Throughout, (Σ, σ) will denote a mixing shift of finite type. The set of vertices of the defining graph of (Σ, σ) is $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ with $N \geq 2$. We denote by $\mathcal{A} = (a_{ij})$ the $N \times N$-transition (aperiodic) matrix associated to Σ; namely points in Σ are sequences $x = \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that for every n, x_n belongs to $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and

$$a_{x_n x_{n+1}} = 1.$$

Let $\phi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ be α-Hölder continuous. For a given σ-invariant measure λ, the ϕ-pressure is the quantity $P_\lambda(\phi) := h_\lambda(\sigma) + \int \phi \, d\lambda$; $P_\lambda(\phi)$ will also be called the ν-pressure of ϕ. The unique *equilibrium state* for ϕ, *i.e.* the unique σ-invariant probability measure with maximal ϕ-pressure, will be denoted by μ_ϕ. Its pressure is the topological ϕ-pressure.

For a set $A \subset \Sigma$ and an integer n, we denote by ∂A its topological boundary $\overline{A} \cap \overline{\Sigma \setminus A}$.

Note that ∂A can be empty; this holds for example when A is a finite union of cylinders. We let $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_\phi(\partial A)$ be the ϕ pressure of ∂A ; since ∂A may not be invariant we define it according to the variational principle:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_\phi(\partial A) = \sup \left\{ h_\nu + \int \phi d\nu \colon \nu \text{ ergodic and } \nu(\partial A) > 0 \right\}$$

Note that this does not correspond to the dimension-like definition of the pressure introduced by Pesin and Pitskel [7].

If D is any subset in Σ, and for $x \in \Sigma$, we denote by $r_D(x)$ the first hitting in D by iterations of σ (if it exists). Namely $r_D(x)$ is the smallest integer $n > 1$ such that $\sigma^n(x)$ belongs to D, and $r_D(x) = +\infty$ if no such integer exists. We also set $r_D^1(x) = r_D(x)$, and denote the nth return time $r_D^n(x)$ the cocycle defined by

$$r_D^{n+1}(x) = r_D^n(x) + r_D(\sigma^{r_D^n(x)}(x)).$$

Then our result is:

Theorem. *Let $A \subset \Sigma$ be an open set. Let $\phi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ be any Hölder continuous function. We have:*

1. *if for any σ-invariant measure μ, $\mu(\partial A) = 0$, then the sequence $(r_A^n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfies the Large Deviation Principle for μ_ϕ.*
2. *if the ϕ-pressure $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_\phi(\partial A)$ of the boundary is strictly smaller than the ϕ-topological pressure then the sequence $(r_A^n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfies a Large Deviation Principle for μ_ϕ* near the average.

We recall that our method is based on the existence of the cumulant generating function $\Psi_A(\alpha)$ for every α in some open set $]\underline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha}[$. For the point 1, we will prove that the function Ψ_A is defined on an interval $]-\infty, \overline{\alpha}[$. For the point 2, we will only get the existence of Ψ_A on some open neighborhood $]\underline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha}[$ of 0.

The hypotheses $\mu(\partial A) = 0$ for any invariant measure μ could seem very restrictive; however it should appear quite often in some general situations, as the following example suggests.

Example 2.1. *Let (M, f) be an hyperbolic automorphism of the 2-torus, and consider the family of balls $B(x, r)$ about a given point $x \in M$. Then, for all but countably many radii $r > 0$, the condition $\mu(\partial B(x, r)) = 0$ for every invariant measure μ is satisfied.*

Proof. Let S be the boundary of a ball. Using hyperbolicity one can show that the intersection of S with its images $f^n(S)$ consists, at most, of countably many points. Hence the set of recurrent points $R(S)$ in S is at most countable. If an invariant measure gives weight to S, by Poincare Recurrence Theorem it implies that it gives weight to $R(S)$ which is a countable set. Thus the measure must have an atomic part consisting of a periodic orbit. Hence S must contain a periodic point. Since the set of periodic points of such a map is countable there can be at most countably many boundaries $\partial B(x, r)$ carrying a periodic point as r varies, which proves the proposition. □

The hypotheses in Point 2 about the pressure of the boundary appears quite naturally in the thermodynamic formalism of dynamical systems with singularities. In the case of $\phi = 0$ it simply says that the boundary ∂A does not carry full measure theoretical entropy. A more explicit condition can be given on the manifold itself.

Proposition 2.2. *Let f be an axiom A diffeomorphism of a manifold M and let μ_ϕ be an equilibrium state of a Hölder potential ϕ. Let V be a Borel set and denote by $U_\varepsilon(\partial V)$ the ε-neighborhood of the set ∂V. Assume that there exist some constants $c > 0$ and $a > 0$ such that*

$$\mu_\phi(U_\varepsilon(\partial V)) \leq c\varepsilon^a \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Then, $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_\phi(\partial V) < \mathcal{P}_\phi(M)$.

See Section 5 for further details. In particular we have:

Example 2.3. *Let (M, f) be a C^2* volume preserving *Anosov diffeomorphism and let $V \subset M$ be an open set with piecewise C^1 boundary. Then the sequence of return times into V satisfies a Large Deviation Principle near the average.*

Proof. Set $\phi = -\log|D_x^u f|$. The equilibrium measure μ_ϕ is the SBR measure which is here the volume measure, and the assumption in Proposition 2.2 is clearly satisfied. □

Outline of the proof of the theorem: in Section 3 we recall how the LDP was obtained for the return-times in cylinders. In Section 4 we compare the cumulant generating functions of inner and outer approximation of our set A by union of cylinders. In section 5, under the assumption of the point 2 of the theorem, we prove the existence of the cumulant generating function Ψ_A on some interval. In section 6 we give a dynamical proof of the statement in point 1 if the theorem.

3. Large deviation for return time in cylinders

We first recall the local thermodynamic formalism introduced in [4]. Then we recall how the large deviation principle for union of cylinders was obtained in [2]. Finally, we derive a uniform mass concentration principle.

3.1. Induced systems and local thermodynamic formalism.

For a given point $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Sigma$, the past (resp. future) of the point denotes the backward (resp. forward) sequence $(x_n)_{n\leq 0}$ (resp. $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$). For x and y in Σ, when $x_0 = y_0$, the point $z \stackrel{def}{=} [\![x, y]\!]$ is the point obtained when we take the past of y and the future of x.

In Σ, the *cylinder* $[i_k, \dots, i_{k+n}]$ will denote the set of points $x \in \Sigma$ such that $x_j = i_j$ (for every $k \leq j \leq k+n$). Such a cylinder will also be called a word (of length $n+1$) or equivalently a $(k, k+n)$-cylinder. If x is in Σ, $C_{k,k+n}(x)$ will denote the cylinder $[i_k, \dots, i_{k+n}]$ such that $x_j = i_j$ (for every $k \leq j \leq k+n$). By extension, $C_{-\infty,n}(x)$ will denotes the set of points (y_k) such that $y_k = x_k$ for every $k \leq n$; similarly $C_{n,+\infty}(x)$ will denotes the set of points (y_k) such that $y_k = x_k$ for every $k \geq n$. By definition, the local unstable leaf $W^u_{loc}(x)$ is $C_{-\infty,0}(x)$, and the local stable leaf $W^s_{loc}(x)$ is $C_{0,+\infty}(x)$. For $n \geq 0$, a n-cylinder will denote a $(-n, n)$-cylinder. The letter $R = \cup R_i$ denotes some finite union of $(-n, n)$-cylinders; in each of these cylinders we fix some local unstable leaf F_i. There is a natural projection from each R_i onto each F_i defined by $\pi_{F_i}(z) = [\![z, x]\!]$, where x is any point in F_i. For convenience we denote by π_F the map defined on R by

$$\pi_F(z) = \pi_{F_i}(z) \iff z \in R_i.$$

We denote by g the first return map in R, and by g_F the map $\pi_F \circ g$. We thus have $g(x) = \sigma^{r_R(x)}(x)$. Note that if the maps r_R, g and g_F are not defined everywhere in R, the inverse branches of g_F are well defined in the whole F.

We can thus define the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator for g_F: for x in F, we set

$$\mathcal{L}_S(\mathcal{T})(x) = \sum_{y,\ g_F(y)=x} e^{S_{r_R(y)}(\phi)(y) - r_R(y).S}\mathcal{T}(y),$$

where $\mathcal{T} : F \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and S is a real parameter. As usual, $S_n(\phi)(x)$ denotes the Birkhoff sum $\phi(x) + \ldots + \phi \circ \sigma^{n-1}(x)$.

There exists some critical S_c, such that for every $S > S_c$ all the following holds: $\mathcal{L}_S$ admits a unique and single dominating eigenvalue λ_S in the set of α-Hölder continuous functions. The adjoint operator $\mathcal{L}^*_S$ has also λ_S for unique and single dominating eigenvalue; we denote by ν_S the unique probability measure on F such that $\mathcal{L}^*_S(\nu_S) = \lambda_S.\nu_S$. We denote by H_S, the unique α-Hölder continuous and positive function on F satisfying $\mathcal{L}_S(H_S) = \lambda_S.H_S$ and $\int H_S\, d\nu_S = 1$. We also denote by μ_S the measure $H_S.\nu_S$, and by $\widehat{\mu}_S$ the natural extension of μ_S. We recall that μ_S is a g_F-invariant probability measure, and $\widehat{\mu}_S$ is a g-invariant probability measure. At last, we denote by m_S the opened-out measure: namely m_S is the σ-invariant measure satisfying, $m_S(R) > 0$, and $\widehat{\mu}_S$ is the conditional measure $m_S(.|R)$.

The spectral properties of $\mathcal{L}_S$ yield the existence of positive real constants C_ϕ and ε_S, such that for every Hölder continuous $\mathcal{T} : F \to \mathbb{R}$, for every integer $n \geq 1$, for every x in F and for every $S > S_c$

$$\mathcal{L}_S^n(\mathcal{T})(x) = e^{n \log \lambda_S} \int \mathcal{T}\, d\nu_S H_S(x) + O(e^{n(\log \lambda_S - \varepsilon_S)})||\mathcal{T}||_\infty. \tag{2}$$

Note that H_S is a positive function on the compact set F.

We now finish this subsection with some important characterization for the measure m_S.

Lemma 3.1. *The measure m_S is the unique equilibrium state in (Σ, σ) associated to $\phi - \log \lambda_S.1\!\!1_R$. Moreover, the $\phi - \log \lambda_S.1\!\!1_R$-pressure is S.*

Proof. for simplicity we set $\beta := \log \lambda_S$ The measure m_S satisfies for every $S > S_c$,

$$h_{m_S}(\sigma) + \int \phi\, dm_S = S + m_S(R)\beta. \tag{3}$$

We refer the reader to [4], prop. 6.8 for a proof. Moreover, the measure $\widehat{\mu}_S$ is the unique equilibrium state for (R, g) associated to the potential $S_{r(.)}(\phi)(.) - S.r(.)$, with pressure β. Let us pick some σ-invariant probability measure, ν.

Let us first assume that $\nu(R) > 0$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} h_\nu(\sigma) + \int \phi\, d\nu - S &= \nu(R)\left(h_{\nu_{|R}}(g) + \int S_{r(.)}(\phi)\, d\nu_{|R} - S. \int r(.)\, d\nu_{|R}\right), \\ &\leq \nu(R)\beta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_{|R}$ is the conditional measure $\nu(.|R)$. This gives

$$h_\nu(\sigma) + \int \phi\, d\nu - \beta. \int 1\!\!1_R\, d\nu \leq S,$$

with equality if and only if $\nu_{|R} = \widehat{\mu}_S$ (*i.e.* $m_S = \nu$).

If we assume that $\nu(R) = 0$, then ν is a σ-invariant probability measure with support in Σ_R. Therefore it must satisfy

$$h_\nu(\sigma) + \int \phi\, d\nu - \beta. \int 1\!\!1_R\, d\nu = h_\nu(\sigma) + \int \phi\, d\nu \leq S_c < S.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

3.2. **Large deviation for return times in cylinders.** In [2], it is proved that the critical value S_c is the pressure of the dotted system, with hole R, associated to the potential ϕ. Namely we consider in Σ the system $\Sigma_R := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma^{-n}(\Sigma \setminus R)$. Up to the fact that this new system is mixing, it was proved in [2] that its ϕ-pressure is the critical S_c. We claim that the mixing hypothesis can be omitted. Indeed, any subshift of finite type can be decomposed in irreducible components, which satisfy the mixing property, but for some iteration of the map σ (see e.g. [1]). As we are considering first returns in R, note that the word defined by the cylinder $C_{0,r_R(x)}(x)$ contains no R_i but at the first position. Now, two different irreducible components can be joined in Σ only by a path which contains R. Therefore, the word defined by the cylinder $C_{0,r_R(x)}(x)$ is an admissible word for a unique irreducible component.

Unicity of the equilibrium state in any mixing subshift (for ϕ) implies that the topological ϕ-pressure $\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma_R)$ for (Σ_R, σ) is strictly lower than the topological ϕ-pressure for Σ, $\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)$.

In [2], it is also proved that $\lambda_S \to +\infty$ as S goes to S_c. Moreover, the map $S \mapsto \log \lambda_S$ is a decreasing convex map on $]S_c, +\infty[$. There also exists some complex neighborhood of $]S_c, +\infty[$ such that the map $S \mapsto \log \lambda_S$ admits an analytic extension on it. In particular the map $S \mapsto \log \lambda_S$ is real-analytic on $]S_c, +\infty[$.

Finally, it is proved in [2] that for every $\alpha < \alpha(R) := \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \mathcal{P}\phi(\Sigma_R)$,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_R e^{\alpha . r_R^n(x)}\, d\mu_\phi = \log \lambda_{\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha}. \tag{4}$$

We shall show now that the large deviation for successive return time and entrance time is the same question; namely, the fact that we are starting from the set R or from the whole space to compute the integral does not make any difference.

Proposition 3.2. *If R and S are finite unions of cylinders, then*

$$\Psi_R(\alpha) = \lim \frac{1}{n} \log \int_S e^{\alpha r_R^n} d\mu,$$

in particular we have $\Psi_R(\alpha) = \log \lambda_{\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha}$.

Remark 1. As mentioned in the introduction, this readily implies the large deviation principle for return times in the form given by (1).

The proposition is a weak consequence of the ψ-mixing property of the measure μ_ϕ. Indeed, there exists $M > 0$ and $\kappa > 1$ such that if f and g are two integrable functions such that $f(x)$ only depends on $(x_n)_{n\le p}$ and $g(x)$ only depends on $(x_n)_{n\ge p+M}$ then

$$\kappa^{-1} \int f d\mu_\phi \int g d\mu_\phi \le \int fg d\mu_\phi \le \kappa \int f d\mu_\phi \int g d\mu_\phi. \tag{5}$$

Lemma 3.3. *If R and S are finite union of $(-m, m)$ cylinder then for any $n \ge M + 2m$ and for*

$$\kappa^{-1}\mu(S) \int_\Sigma e^{\alpha r_R^{n-(M+2m)}} d\mu_\phi \le \int_S e^{\alpha r_R^n} d\mu_\phi \le \kappa\mu(S) e^{\alpha(M+2m)} \int_\Sigma e^{\alpha r_R^n} d\mu_\phi \quad (\alpha \ge 0)$$
$$\kappa^{-1}\mu(S) e^{\alpha(M+2m)} \int_\Sigma e^{\alpha r_R^n} d\mu_\phi \le \int_S e^{\alpha r_R^n} d\mu_\phi \le \kappa\mu(S) \int_\Sigma e^{\alpha r_R^{n-(M+2m)}} d\mu_\phi \quad (\alpha \le 0).$$

Proof. For any $n \ge M + 2m$ we have

$$r_R^{n-(M+2m)} \circ f^{M+2m} \le r_R^n \le M + 2m + r_R^n \circ f^{M+2m}$$

from which the result follows by inequality (5). □

The proof of the proposition consists in applying twice the lemma : from the integration over S to Σ and then to R.

3.3. **Concentration of the mass.** Let R be a finite union of cylinders. The large deviation principle holds for the return times r_R^n. It is well-known that this implies a kind of concentration of the mass.

Proposition 3.4. *Let R be a finite union of cylinders. Let α and $\delta > 0$ such that $\alpha + \delta < \alpha(R)$. Then for every $\tau > \dfrac{\Psi_R(\alpha+\delta) - \Psi_R(\delta)}{\delta}$ we have*

$$\Psi_R(\alpha) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int e^{\alpha.r_R^n} d\mu_\phi = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{\left\{r_R^n \le n\tau\right\}} e^{\alpha.r_R^n} d\mu_\phi.$$

Proof. Take $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $-\delta\tau + \Psi_R(\alpha+\delta) + \varepsilon \le \Psi_R(\alpha) - \varepsilon$. Using Markov inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{r_R^n > n\tau} e^{\alpha.r_R^n} d\mu_\phi &= \int_{r_R^n > n\tau} e^{(\alpha+\delta).r_R^n} e^{-\delta.r_R^n} d\mu_\phi \\
&\le e^{-\delta.n\tau} \int_{r_R^n > n\tau} e^{(\alpha+\delta).r_R^n} d\mu_\phi \\
&\le e^{-\delta.n\tau} \int e^{(\alpha+\delta).r_R^n} d\mu_\phi \\
&\le e^{-\delta.n\tau} e^{n.(\Psi_R(\alpha+\delta)+\varepsilon)} \\
&= o(e^{n.\Psi_R(\alpha)})
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Existence of inner and outer approximations, properties and consequences of their equality

In the first subsection we prove a monotonicity result about cumulant generating functions. The idea is to approximate the set A from the inside and from the outside by finite unions of cylinders, and show that the inner cumulant generating function Ψ_{in} and the outer cumulant generating function Ψ_{out} exist. Finally, we study the consequence of their equality on the cumulant generating function of the set A.

4.1. **Monotonicity of the rate function on rectangles.** For m an integer, let $\mathcal{B}_m$ be the biggest union of m-cylinders contained in A and $\mathcal{C}_m$ be the smallest union of m-cylinders which contains A. Then, we denote by $\mathcal{D}_m$ the set $\mathcal{C}_m \setminus \mathcal{B}_m$ (See Figure 4.1). As any $(-m, m)$-cylinder is a union of $(-m-1, m+1)$-cylinders, we have $\mathcal{B}_m \subset \mathcal{B}_{m+1} \subset A \subset \mathcal{C}_{m+1} \subset \mathcal{C}_m$; Therefore $(\mathcal{D}_m)$ is a decreasing sequence of compact set which converges to ∂A.

Following what is done above, there exists two analytic functions $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}$ respectively defined on $]-\infty, \alpha(\mathcal{B}_m)[$ and $]-\infty, \alpha(\mathcal{C}_m)[$. As it is said above, $\alpha(\mathcal{B}_m)$ is the difference between $\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)$ and the topological ϕ-pressure of the dotted system $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}_m}$. In the same way, $\alpha(\mathcal{C}_m)$ is the difference between $\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)$ and the topological ϕ-pressure of the dotted system $\Sigma_{\mathcal{C}_m}$. Now, we clearly have $\Sigma_{\mathcal{C}_m} \subset \Sigma_{\mathcal{B}_m}$, because $\mathcal{C}_m \supset \mathcal{B}_m$. We also have $\mathcal{C}_{m+1} \subset \mathcal{C}_m$ and $\mathcal{B}_m \subset \mathcal{B}_{m+1}$. Therefore the sequence $(\alpha(\mathcal{B}_m))_m$ is non-decreasing and the



FIGURE 1. Inner and outer approximation of the set A by m-cylinders.

sequence $(\alpha(\mathcal{C}_m))_m$ is non-increasing. Moreover, for any m,

$$\alpha(\mathcal{B}_m) \leq \alpha(\mathcal{C}_m).$$

The sequence $(\alpha(\mathcal{B}_m))_m$ is thus converging to some limit $\alpha_0 < +\infty$. Hence, for any $\alpha < \alpha_0$, and for any sufficiently large m, the functions $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}$ are real-analytic on $]-\infty, \alpha[$.

Let us define the lower and upper cumulant generating functions of A by

$$\overline{\Psi}_A(\alpha) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int e^{\alpha r_A^n} d\mu_\phi \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\Psi}_A(\alpha) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int e^{\alpha r_A^n} d\mu_\phi.$$

Proposition 4.1. *For any $0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_0$ and for any sufficiently large m, we have*

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha) \leq \underline{\Psi}_A(\alpha) \leq \overline{\Psi}_A(\alpha) \leq \Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}(\alpha).$$

For any $\alpha < 0$ we have

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}(\alpha) \leq \underline{\Psi}_A(\alpha) \leq \overline{\Psi}_A(\alpha) \leq \Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha).$$

Proof. The double inclusion $\mathcal{B}_m \subset A \subset \mathcal{C}_m$ implies that $r_{\mathcal{B}_m}^n \geq r_A^n \geq r_{\mathcal{C}_m}^n$. The result follows then immediately by integration and taking the appropriate limits. □

Remark 2. Forgetting the set A in the previous proof, we have in fact proved that if $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are finite unions of cylinders satisfying $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}$, then for any $\alpha < 0$,

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{B}}(\alpha) \leq \Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha), \tag{6}$$

and for any $\alpha \geq 0$ (but sufficiently small such that the functions are well-defined)

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{B}}(\alpha) \geq \Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha). \tag{7}$$

4.2. **Existence of inner and outer cumulant generating functions.** Let us pick some $0<\alpha<\alpha_0$. By (7), the sequence of functions $(\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m})_m$ is a non-increasing sequence of non-decreasing convex functions on $[0,\alpha[$ (for sufficiently large m !). It thus (simply) converges to some limit function Ψ_{in}. This function Ψ_{in} has to be convex, thus continuous on $]0,\alpha[$. It also has to be non-decreasing, thus it must be continuous on $[0,\alpha[$. Moreover the Dini Theorem yields that the convergence is uniform on every compact set included in $[0,\alpha[$. This occurs for any $0<\alpha<\alpha_0$, thus the limit function Ψ_{in} is non-decreasing and continuous on $[0,\alpha_0[$ and the convergence is uniform on every compact set included in $[0,\alpha_0[$.

In the same way sequence of functions $(\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m})_m$ is a non-decreasing sequence of non-decreasing convex functions on $[0,\alpha_0[$ (for any m !). It thus (simply) converges to some limit function Ψ_{out}; this function Ψ_{out} is convex and continuous on $]0,\alpha_0[$. Note that by (7) we have

$$0\le\Psi_{\text{out}}\le\Psi_{\text{in}}.$$

As $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}(0)=\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(0)=0$ for any m, Ψ_{out} is continuous on $[0,\alpha_0[$, and the convergence is uniform.

We do the same work on $]-\infty,0]$ using (6) instead of (7). Note that for $\alpha\le 0$ we have

$$0\ge\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)\ge\Psi_{\text{in}}(\alpha).$$

The two functions Ψ_{in} and Ψ_{out} are convex and non-decreasing. By proposition 4.1 we have

$$\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)\le\underline{\Psi}_A(\alpha)\le\overline{\Psi}_A(\alpha)\le\Psi_{\text{in}}(\alpha)\text{ for }\alpha\ge 0,$$

$$\text{and }\Psi_{\text{in}}(\alpha)\le\underline{\Psi}_A(\alpha)\le\overline{\Psi}_A(\alpha)\le\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)\text{ for }\alpha\le 0.$$

We emphasize that the existence of the limit $\Psi_A(\alpha)$ immediately follows from the equality $\Psi_{\text{in}}(\alpha)=\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)$:

Proposition 4.2. *If $\Psi_{\text{in}}=\Psi_{\text{out}}$ on an open interval then the cumulant generating function Ψ_A exists, is equal to $\Psi_{\text{in}}=\Psi_{\text{out}}$ on this interval and it is a convex, continuous, non-decreasing function.*

Note that if the cumulant generating function Ψ_A exists on some open interval $(-\infty,\overline{\alpha})$ and satisfies $\lim_{\alpha\to\overline{\alpha}}\Psi_A(\alpha)=+\infty$ then one gets the Large Deviation Principle and the formula (1) holds. In Sections 5 and 6 we will prove, under the assumption in point 1 of the theorem, the existence of Ψ_A on an interval $(-\infty,\overline{\alpha})$ but we are not able to prove its maximality (the limit could be finite).

Nevertheless, using next proposition which exploits the symmetry of our assumption, this will be sufficient to get the existence of the rate function Φ_A on the whole interval.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that the Large deviation principle for return times into A^c holds for $u\in(0,\frac{1}{\mu(A^c)})$ with a continuous rate function Φ_{A^c}. Then the Large Deviation Principle for return times into A holds for $u>\frac{1}{\mu(A)}$ with a rate function Φ_A which satisfies*

$$\Phi_A(u)=(u-1)\,\Phi_{A^c}\left(\frac{u}{u-1}\right).$$

In particular, if Φ_{A^c} is the Legendre transform of the cumulant generating function Ψ_{A^c} then

$$\Phi_A(u) = \inf_{\alpha<0} \left\{-\alpha u + (u-1)\Psi_{A^c}(\alpha)\right\}.$$

Proof. Observe that if $u > \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \geq 1$ then $r_A^n \geq nu$ if and only if the orbit entered at most n times in A before the time $\lfloor nu \rfloor$, which means that the orbit entered at least $\lfloor n(u-1)\rfloor$ times into A^c before the time $\lfloor nu \rfloor$. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{n}\log \mu_\phi(r_A^n \geq nu) = \frac{1}{n}\log \mu_\phi\left(r_{A^c}^{\lfloor n(u-1)\rfloor} < \lfloor n(u-1)\rfloor \frac{\lfloor nu\rfloor}{\lfloor n(u-1)\rfloor}\right)$$

and the result follows by taking the limit as $n \to \infty$.

□

5. Coincidence of inner and outer approximation in the case of a small pressure boundary

The goal of this section is to prove the point 2 of the theorem. By the previous analysis (See Proposition 4.2) it is sufficient to prove the existence of some interval $(\alpha_2, \alpha_1) \ni 0$ such that for any $\alpha \in (\alpha_2, \alpha_1)$ we have $\Psi_{\text{in}}(\alpha) = \Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)$.

5.1. **A more explicit condition to get a small pressure boundary.** Let $K \subset \Sigma$ be a Borel set. We recall our definition of its ϕ-pressure:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_\phi(K) = \sup\left\{h_\nu + \int \phi d\nu \colon \nu \text{ ergodic and } \nu(K) > 0\right\}.$$

Note that it is not the same as the one defined as a dimension like characteristic with forward cylinders. That would satisfy us in the case of expanding maps, but for diffeomorphisms it would lead to a condition much too strong.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $K \subset \Sigma$ be a Borel set and let $V_n(K)$ be the smallest union of $(-n,n)$-cylinders which contains K. If there exist some constants $c > 0$ and $\theta > 0$ such that $\mu_\phi(V_n(K)) \leq ce^{-\theta n}$ for all integers n, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_\phi(K) \leq \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \frac{1}{2}\theta$.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{S}_n\phi(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n-1}\phi(x)$ denote the two sided Birkhoff sum and $\widetilde{Z}_n^x$ the $(-n,n)$-cylinder containing the point $x \in \Sigma$. Recall that since μ_ϕ is a Gibbs measure, for some constant $b > 0$ and for every $x \in \Sigma$ we have

$$e^{-b} \leq \frac{\mu_\phi(\widetilde{Z}_n^x)}{\exp\left(\widetilde{S}_n\phi(x) - 2n\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)\right)} \leq e^b.$$

Let ν be an ergodic measure such that $\nu(K) > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
c &\geq e^{\theta n}\mu_\phi(V_n(K)) \\
&\geq \int_K \exp\left(\theta n + \log\frac{\mu_\phi(\widetilde{Z}_n^x)}{\nu(\widetilde{Z}_n^x)}\right) d\nu(x) \\
&= \int_K \exp\left(2n\left[\frac{\theta}{2} + \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_\phi(\Sigma) + \frac{1}{2n}\widetilde{S}_n\phi(x) - \frac{1}{2n}\log\nu(\widetilde{Z}_n^x)\right]\right) d\nu(x).
\end{aligned}$$

The Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem and the ergodic theorem implies the convergence ν-a.e. of the term into square bracket to the value

$$\frac{\theta}{2}+\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_\phi(\Sigma)+h_\nu+\int\phi d\nu,$$

which cannot be positive according to Fatou's lemma. □

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Take a Markov partition of sufficiently small diameter and denote by $\pi\colon\Sigma\to M$ the semi-conjugacy. We know that the diameter of the image by π of a $(-n,n)$-cylinder goes uniformly to zero at an exponential rate. Thus, setting $A=\pi^{-1}V$ we get, since $\partial A\subset\pi^{-1}\partial V$ that the $(-n,n)$-cylindrical neighborhood of ∂A has a measure exponentially small, thus Proposition 5.1 applies. □

5.2. **Coincidence for positive values of α.** Lemma 3.1 characterizes $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}$: as soon as $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}(\alpha)$ is defined, $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}(\alpha)$ is the unique real number $t=t(\alpha,m)$ such that the topological pressure associated to the potential $\phi-t1\!\!1_{\mathcal{B}_m}$, $\mathcal{P}_{\phi-t1\!\!1_{\mathcal{B}_m}}$, equals $\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha$.

Similarly, $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha)$ is the unique real number $t=t(\alpha,m)$ such that the topological pressure associated to the potential $\phi-t1\!\!1_{\mathcal{C}_m}$, $\mathcal{P}_{\phi-t1\!\!1_{\mathcal{C}_m}}$, equals $\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha$.

Let us pick some $\alpha>0$. We denote by $m_{\mathcal{B}_m,\alpha}$ the measure m_S obtained when we have $R=\mathcal{B}_m$ and $S=\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha$ in subsection 3.1. This measure is the unique equilibrium state associated to the potential $\phi-\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}(\alpha).1\!\!1_{\mathcal{B}_m}$. The measure weights $\mathcal{B}_m$, hence $\mathcal{C}_m$ and we can take the induced measure on $\mathcal{C}_m$. Therefore we have (omitting the subscribe m for convenience)

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{m_{\mathcal{B},\alpha}}(f)+\int\phi-\Psi_{\mathcal{B}}(\alpha)\,dm_{\mathcal{B},\alpha} &= \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha\\
h_{m_{\mathcal{B},\alpha}}(f)+\int\phi-(\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha)\,dm_{\mathcal{B},\alpha} &= m_{\mathcal{B},\alpha}(\mathcal{B})\Psi_{\mathcal{B}}(\alpha)\\
m_{\mathcal{B},\alpha}(\mathcal{C})\left(h_{\mu_{\mathcal{B},\alpha,\mathcal{C}}}(g_{\mathcal{C}})+\int S_{r_{\mathcal{C}}}(\phi-(\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha))d\mu_{\mathcal{B},\alpha,\mathcal{C}}\right) &= m_{\mathcal{B},\alpha}(\mathcal{B})\Psi_{\mathcal{B}}(\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{\mathcal{B},\alpha,\mathcal{C}}$ is the conditional measure $m_{\mathcal{B},\alpha|\mathcal{C}}$ and $g_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the first return map on $\mathcal{C}$. This measure has a pressure in $\mathcal{C}$ lower than $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)$; we thus get

$$\frac{m_{\mathcal{B}_m,\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_m)}{m_{\mathcal{B}_m,\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_m)}\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}(\alpha)\le\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha). \tag{8}$$

Recall that for positive α, $0<\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha)\le\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}(\alpha)$ and are upper bounded (uniformly in every compact set in $[0,\alpha_0[$).

Proposition 5.2. *There exists some $\alpha_1>0$ such that for every $\alpha\in(0,\alpha_1)$,*

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty}\frac{m_{\mathcal{B}_m,\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_m)}{m_{\mathcal{B}_m,\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_m)}=0.$$

In particular, $\Psi_{\mathrm{in}}=\Psi_{\mathrm{out}}$ on this interval.

The proof of the proposition is an immediate consequence of these two lemmas and Inequality (8).

Lemma 5.3. *For any $\alpha\in(0,\alpha_0)$ we have $\liminf_{m\to+\infty}m_{\mathcal{B}_m,\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_m)>0$*

Proof. Let us denote by μ_m the measure $m_{\mathcal{B}_m,\alpha}$, and pick any accumulation point ν of (μ_m) such that $\mu_m(\mathcal{B}_m)$ converges (up to the correct subsequence) to $L := \liminf_{m\to+\infty} \mu_m(\mathcal{B}_m)$. Let us show that $L > 0$ whenever $\alpha < \alpha_0$.

Since μ_m is an equilibrium state we have

$$h_{\mu_m} + \int \phi \, d\mu_m - \Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}(\alpha)\mu_m(\mathcal{B}_m) = \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \alpha. \tag{9}$$

By semi-continuity for the metric entropy and the continuity of ϕ we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_\nu(\phi) = h_\nu + \int \phi d\nu \geq \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \alpha + \Psi_{\text{in}}(\alpha)L. \tag{10}$$

If $\nu(\mathcal{B}_j) = 0$ then this yields that ν is a σ-invariant measure for the dotted system $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}_j}$. Hence, its ϕ-pressure must be smaller than $\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}_j})$, which is by definition $\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \alpha(\mathcal{B}_j)$. If this holds for every j then $\mathcal{P}_\nu(\phi) \leq \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \alpha_0$ (remember that $(\alpha(\mathcal{B}_j))$ converges to α_0). On the other hand by (10) we had $\mathcal{P}_\nu(\phi) \geq \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \alpha$, and $\alpha < \alpha_0$. This yields a contradiction. Therefore $\nu(\mathcal{B}_j) > 0$ for some j. Additionally, whenever $m \geq j$ we get $\mu_m(\mathcal{B}_m) \geq \mu_m(\mathcal{B}_j)$, and the later converges to $\nu(\mathcal{B}_j)$ by continuity of $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{B}_j}$. This achieves the proof of the lemma since $\mathcal{C}_m \supset \mathcal{B}_m$ for any m. □

Lemma 5.4. *Let us set* $\alpha_1 := \min(\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_\phi(\partial A), \alpha_0) > 0$. *For every* $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_1)$ *we have* $\lim_{m\to+\infty} m_{\mathcal{B}_m,\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_m) = 0$.

Proof. Let us fix some $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_1)$. Let us pick any accumulation point ν for the sequence of measures μ_m (we keep the notation of the preceding lemma). We claim that $\nu(\partial A) = 0$.

Assume for a contradiction that $\nu(\partial A) > 0$. Then let $H = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f^{-n}\partial A$ be the invariant hull of ∂A. Let ν_0 and ν_1 be the conditional measures of ν on H and $\Sigma \setminus H$. These two invariant probabilities are such that $\nu = p\nu_0 + q\nu_1$ for some $p > 0$. Observe that by definition, any ergodic component of ν_0 gives mass to H. Therefore even if ν_0 is not ergodic, since the entropy is affine we still get that

$$h_{\nu_0} + \int \phi \, d\nu_0 \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_\phi(\partial A) = \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \alpha_1. \tag{11}$$

Copying the equality (9), we get for every integers $m \geq j$ that

$$h_{\mu_m} + \int \phi d\mu_m - \Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}(\alpha)\mu_m(\mathcal{B}_j) \geq \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \alpha.$$

Thus letting $m \to \infty$ gives, since the entropy is semi-continuous and affine,

$$p\left(h_{\nu_0} + \int \phi \, d\nu_0 - \Psi_{\text{in}}(\alpha)\nu_0(\mathcal{B}_j)\right) + q\left(h_{\nu_1} + \int \phi \, d\nu_1 - \Psi_{\text{in}}(\alpha)\nu_1(\mathcal{B}_j)\right) \geq \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \alpha, \tag{12}$$

Hence (11) and (12) yield that for every j

$$h_{\nu_1} + \int \phi \, d\nu_1 - \Psi_{\text{in}}(\alpha)\nu_1(\mathcal{B}_j) \geq \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \alpha + \frac{p}{q}(\alpha_1 - \alpha).$$

We now choose j large enough such that

$$h_{\nu_1} + \int \phi \, d\nu_1 - \Psi_{\mathcal{B}_j}(\alpha)\nu_1(\mathcal{B}_j) > \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \alpha$$

holds. This is a contradiction because the measure ν_1 would have a $\phi - \Psi_{\mathcal{B}_j}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{B}_j}$-pressure strictly larger than the associated equilibrium state. Thus we have $\nu(\partial A) = 0$.

To finish the proof let us fix some $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider any j such that $\nu(\mathcal{D}_j) < \varepsilon$. Such an integer j exists by outer regularity of the measure ν and because $\partial A = \bigcap \downarrow \mathcal{D}_n$. Note that $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}_j}$ is continuous. Now, for any $m \geq j$ we have $\mathcal{D}_m \subset \mathcal{D}_j$, and then we get

$$0 \leq \limsup_m \mu_m(\mathcal{D}_m) \leq \nu(\mathcal{D}_j) < \varepsilon.$$

This holds for every positive ε, which proves the lemma. □

Remark 3. We remark that under the assumption in point 1 of the theorem, we always have $\nu(A) = 0$ for the measure ν constructed in Lemma 5.4, therefore $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0$.

5.3. **Coincidence for negative values of α.** We remark that the measure $m_{\mathcal{C},\alpha}$ is a Gibbs measure with full topological support, thus it gives weight to $\mathcal{B}$. Therefore we can copy the case α positive and induce on $\mathcal{B}$ (instead of $\mathcal{C}$); we get similarly

$$\frac{m_{\mathcal{C}_m,\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_m)}{m_{\mathcal{C}_m,\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_m)}\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha) \leq \Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}(\alpha). \tag{13}$$

Proposition 5.5. *There exists some real $\alpha_2 < 0$ such that for every $\alpha \in (\alpha_2, 0)$,*

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty} \frac{m_{\mathcal{C}_m,\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_m)}{m_{\mathcal{C}_m,\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_m)} = 0.$$

In particular, $\Psi_{\text{in}} = \Psi_{\text{out}}$ on this interval.

The proof of the proposition is an immediate consequence of these two lemmas and Inequality (13).

Lemma 5.6. *For any negative α we have $\liminf_{m\to+\infty} m_{\mathcal{C}_m,\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_m) > 0$*

Proof. Let us denote by μ_m the measure $m_{\mathcal{C}_m,\alpha}$, and pick any accumulation point ν of (μ_m) such that $\mu_m(\mathcal{C}_m)$ converges (up to the correct subsequence) to $L := \liminf_{m\to+\infty} \mu_m(\mathcal{C}_m)$.

Since μ_m is an equilibrium state we have

$$h_{\mu_m} + \int \phi\, d\mu_m - \Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha)\mu_m(\mathcal{C}_m) = \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \alpha. \tag{14}$$

By semi-continuity for the metric entropy and the continuity of ϕ we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_\nu(\phi) = h_\nu + \int \phi d\nu \geq \mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma) - \alpha + \Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)L. \tag{15}$$

Therefore $L \neq 0$ otherwise the right hand side would be larger than the topological pressure of ϕ. □

Lemma 5.7. *There exists $\alpha_2 < 0$ such that for any $\alpha \in (\alpha_2, 0)$ we have $\lim_{m\to\infty} m_{\mathcal{C}_m,\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_m) = 0$.*

Proof. We keep the notation of the preceding lemma. Let ν be an accumulation point of (μ_m). We first show that $\nu(\partial A)=0$. By equality (14) we get that for any integers $m\geq j$, since $\mathcal{C}_j\supset\mathcal{C}_m$ and now $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha)<0$, we have

$$h_{\mu_m}+\int\phi d\mu_m-\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha)\mu_m(\mathcal{C}_j)\geq\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha.$$

Letting $m\to\infty$ gives, since the entropy is semi-continuous and $1\!\!1_{\mathcal{C}_j}$ is continuous, that

$$h_\nu+\int\phi d\nu-\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)\nu(\mathcal{C}_j)\geq\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha.$$

Assume for a contradiction that $\nu(\partial A)>0$ and decompose $\nu=p\nu_0+q\nu_1$ as in the case α positive. Let $\delta>0$. By definition of Ψ_{out}, for any j sufficiently large we have $-\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_j}(\alpha)\nu_1(\mathcal{C}_j)+\delta\geq-\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)\nu_1(\mathcal{C}_j)$. Since the entropy is affine, we get

$$p\left(h_{\nu_0}+\int\phi d\nu_0-\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)\nu_0(\mathcal{C}_j)\right)+q\left(h_{\nu_1}+\int\phi d\nu_1-\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_j}(\alpha)\nu_1(\mathcal{C}_j)+\delta\right)\geq\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha.$$

This together with (11) gives

$$q\left(h_{\nu_1}+\int\phi d\nu_1-\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_j}(\alpha)\nu_1(\mathcal{C}_j)+\delta\right)\geq\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha-p\left(\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha_1-\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)\nu_0(C_j)\right).$$

Since the pressure $\mathcal{P}_{\nu_1}(\phi-\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_j}(\alpha)1\!\!1_{\mathcal{C}_j})\leq P_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha$ this implies that

$$q(P_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha+\delta)\geq\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha-p\left(\mathcal{P}_\phi(\Sigma)-\alpha_1-\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)\nu_0(C_j)\right).$$

By outer regularity of the measure ν_0 we have $\nu_0(C_j)\to\nu_0(\overline{A})\leq\rho(\overline{A})$ as $j\to\infty$ (recall that $\rho(\overline{A})=\sup_\mu\mu(\overline{A})$). Since δ is arbitrary this gives $p(-\alpha_1-\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)+\alpha)\geq 0$, which is contradictory if $p>0$ and α is small enough, since the function $\alpha\mapsto\alpha-\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)\rho(\overline{A})$ is continuous and vanishes for $\alpha=0$. Thus there exists $\alpha_2<0$ such that if $\alpha\in]\alpha_2,0[$ we have $\nu(\partial A)=0$.

The conclusion of the lemma follows as in the positive case. □

Remark 4. We remark that under the assumption in point 1 of the theorem, we always have $\nu(A)=0$ for the measure ν constructed in Lemma 5.7, therefore $\alpha_2=-\infty$.

6. A dynamical proof of the coincidence of inner and outer approximation in the case of totally negligible boundary

In this section we give an alternative and somewhat more direct proof of the point 1 in our theorem. By Proposition 4.3 it suffices to show the equality $\Psi_{\text{in}}=\Psi_{\text{out}}$ on the interval $(-\infty,0)$ for the set A and its complement A^c. The hypotheses on the boundary is completely symmetric if we replace A by A^c, so it is sufficient to prove the equality on the interval $(-\infty,0)$ for the set A only. However, we also prove that the equality holds some interval $(-\infty,\alpha_0)$ for some $\alpha_0>0$. This in turn not only implies that the rate function Φ_A exists on the whole interval $[0,+\infty)$, but also shows that the formula (1) is satisfied on some interval $[0,\underline{u})$ for some $\overline{u}>\frac{1}{\mu_\phi(A)}$.

6.1. **Infinite rate function for return times near the boundary.** Recall that $\mathcal{D}_m = \mathcal{C}_m \setminus \mathcal{B}_m$ is the m-cylindrical neighborhood of the boundary ∂A. For convenience, and for general computations, we remove the subscript "m" and just write $\mathcal{D}$. Our aim is to show that, the probability that the successive return times into $\mathcal{D}_m$ are small, is extremely small. We first prove a key lemma. Let

$$\rho(\mathcal{D}) := \sup_\mu \mu(\mathcal{D}).$$

Lemma 6.1. *With the assumption on ∂A, $\lim_{m\to+\infty} \rho(\mathcal{D}_m) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}_m$ is decreasing the limit $\rho_\infty = \lim_{m\to+\infty} \rho(\mathcal{D}_m)$ exists. For any m there exists some probability μ_m such that

$$\mu_m(\mathcal{D}_m) \geq \rho(\mathcal{D}_m) - \frac{1}{m}.$$

Let us pick any accumulation point μ for the sequence of probabilities (μ_m). Recall that the map $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{D}_m}$ is continuous. Let us pick some integer m. For simplicity we write converging sequences instead of converging subsequences.

$$\mu(\mathcal{D}_m) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \mu_n(\mathcal{D}_m) \geq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \mu_n(\mathcal{D}_n) \geq \lim_{n\to+\infty} \rho(\mathcal{D}_n) - \frac{1}{n} = \rho_\infty.$$

By outer regularity of the measure μ this yields that $\rho_\infty \leq \lim \mu(\mathcal{D}_m) = \mu(\partial A) = 0$. □

Proposition 6.2. *For every $v > 0$, there exists some $M = M(v)$ such that for every $m \geq M$, $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_m}(v) = -\infty$.*

Proof. Let $v > 0$. By Lemma 6.1 we always can consider m large enough such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu_\phi(\mathcal{D})} > v.$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}$ is a union of $(-m, m)$-cylinders. We thus can use the large deviation principle for $(r^k_{\mathcal{D}})$ (see Remark 1) which gives

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{D}}(v) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu_\phi \left\{ \frac{r^n_{\mathcal{D}}}{n} \leq v \right\} \quad = \quad \inf_{\widetilde{\alpha}<\alpha'} \left\{ -v\widetilde{\alpha} + \Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(\widetilde{\alpha}) \right\}, \tag{16}$$

where $\alpha' = \alpha(\mathcal{D}) > 0$ (it thus depends on m).

We emphasize that the slope of $\widetilde{\alpha} \mapsto \Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(\widetilde{\alpha})$ as $\widetilde{\alpha}$ goes to $-\infty$ is $\dfrac{1}{\rho(\mathcal{D})}$. Lemma 6.1 yields the existence of some $M = M(v)$ such that for every $m \geq M$, $\frac{1}{\rho(\mathcal{D}_m)} < v$. This implies by (16) that $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_m}(v) \leq \lim_{\widetilde{\alpha}\to-\infty} \widetilde{\alpha} \left(v - \frac{1}{\rho(D)} \right) = -\infty$ (See Figure 6.1). □

6.2. **Coincidence for positive values of α.** Fix some $\alpha < \alpha_0$ and δ such that $\alpha+\delta < \alpha_0$. For sufficiently large m, all the $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}$ are defined on $[0, \alpha+\delta]$ and equicontinuous. then choose a uniform τ in Proposition 3.4 such that the mass concentration holds, namely

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_m}(\alpha) = \lim \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{r^n_{\mathcal{B}_m} \leq n\tau} e^{\alpha r^n_{\mathcal{B}_m}} d\mu_\phi \tag{17}$$

for all sufficiently large m.



FIGURE 2. The rate function $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}}(v)$ is the maximal distance between $\widetilde{\alpha}v$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(\widetilde{\alpha})$ on the negative axis.

Let us pick some fixed positive ε. We have

$$\int_{r_B^n\le n\tau} e^{\alpha.r_{\mathcal{B}}^n}\,d\mu_\phi \le \int_{r_B^n\le r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n(1+\varepsilon)}} e^{\alpha.r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)}}\,d\mu_\phi + \int_{r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n(1+\varepsilon)}<r_B^n\le n\tau} e^{\alpha.r_{\mathcal{B}}^n}\,d\mu_\phi. \tag{18}$$

The first term in the right hand side of this equation is simply bounded by

$$\int e^{\alpha.r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)}}\,d\mu_\phi \le e^{n(1+2\varepsilon)\Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)} \tag{19}$$

provided n is sufficiently large.

We turn to the second term. The condition $r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n(1+\varepsilon)} < r_B^n \le n.\tau$ implies that $r_{\mathcal{D}}^{n\varepsilon}\le n\tau$, hence we get

$$\int_{r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)}<r_B^n\le n.\tau} e^{\alpha.r_{\mathcal{B}}^n}\,d\mu_\phi \le e^{\alpha.n.\tau}\mu_\phi\left(r_{\mathcal{D}}^{n.\varepsilon}\le n\tau\right) = e^{\alpha.n.\tau}\mu_\phi(r_{\mathcal{D}}^{n\varepsilon}\le(n.\varepsilon).\frac{\tau}{\varepsilon}). \tag{20}$$

By Proposition 6.2, if we consider $m\ge M(\frac{\tau}{\varepsilon})$ for some fixed ε, for n large enough we get

$$\mu_\phi(r_{\mathcal{D}}^{n.\varepsilon}\le n.\varepsilon\frac{\tau}{\varepsilon})\le e^{-2\alpha.\tau.n},$$

Therefore, (20) gives for n sufficiently large

$$\int_{r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)}<r_B^n\le n.\tau} e^{\alpha.r_{\mathcal{B}}^n}\,d\mu_\phi\le 1.$$

Recall that $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)\ge 0$ for $\alpha\ge 0$. Then, (18) together with (19) and (17) yield that

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{B}}(\alpha)\le(1+2\varepsilon)\Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)$$

It follows from proposition 4.1 that

$$\Psi_{\text{in}}(\alpha)\le(1+2\varepsilon)\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha). \tag{21}$$

Letting ε go to 0 we get that $\Psi_{\text{in}}=\Psi_{\text{out}}$. This holds for every $\alpha<\alpha_1$ and for every $\alpha_1\le\alpha_0$. Therefore it holds for every $\alpha<\alpha_0$.

6.3. **Coincidence for negative values of α.** We now do the proof for a fixed $\alpha < 0$. Here again we omit the subscript "m" when it is not necessary. We also pick some positive ε. Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\int e^{\alpha.r_{\mathcal{B}}^n}\, d\mu_\phi &\geq \int_{r_{\mathcal{B}}^n \leq r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)}} e^{\alpha.r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)}}\, d\mu_\phi \\ &\geq \int e^{\alpha.r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)}}\, d\mu_\phi - \int_{r_{\mathcal{B}}^n > r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)}} e^{\alpha.r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)}}\, d\mu_\phi. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Let us pick some positive real $\widetilde{\tau}$ which will be chosen latter. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{r_{\mathcal{B}}^n > r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)}} e^{\alpha.r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)}}\, d\mu_\phi &\leq \mu_\phi\left(r_{\mathcal{B}}^n > r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)} > n.(1+\varepsilon)\widetilde{\tau}\right) + \\ &\quad + \mu_\phi\left(r_{\mathcal{B}}^n > r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)} \cap n.(1+\varepsilon)\widetilde{\tau} \geq r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)}\right) \\ &\leq \mu_\phi\left(r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)} > n.(1+\varepsilon)\widetilde{\tau}\right) + \mu_\phi\left(r_{\mathcal{D}}^{n\varepsilon} \leq n.(1+\varepsilon)\widetilde{\tau}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The large deviation principle for $r_{\mathcal{C}}^k$ means

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{\tau}) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu_\phi \left\{ \frac{r_{\mathcal{C}}^n}{n} \geq \widetilde{\tau} \right\} \quad = \quad \inf_{\widetilde{\alpha}<\alpha'} \{-\widetilde{\tau}\widetilde{\alpha} + \Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{\alpha})\} \tag{24}$$

for some $\alpha' > \alpha_0$. Fix some j and some $\widetilde{\alpha} \in (0, \alpha(\mathcal{B}_j))$. Choose then $\widetilde{\tau}$ such that

$$-\widetilde{\tau}.\widetilde{\alpha} + \Psi_{\mathcal{B}_j}(\widetilde{\alpha}) < 2.\Psi_{\mathcal{B}_j}(\alpha) < 0.$$

Recall that on $\mathbb{R}_+$ all the $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ are lower than all the $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}}$, and the converse holds on $\mathbb{R}_-$. Therefore we get for every m that

$$-\widetilde{\tau}.\widetilde{\alpha} + \Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\widetilde{\alpha}) < 2.\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha) < 0. \tag{25}$$

For n large enough, (24) and (25) yield

$$\mu_\phi\left(r_{\mathcal{C}}^{n.(1+\varepsilon)} > n.(1+\varepsilon)\widetilde{\tau}\right) \leq e^{n.(1+\varepsilon)(\Phi_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{\tau})+\varepsilon)} \leq e^{n.(1+\varepsilon)(2\Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)+\varepsilon)}. \tag{26}$$

Following Proposition 6.2 we get

$$\mu_\phi\left(r_{\mathcal{D}}^{n\varepsilon} \leq n.(1+\varepsilon)\widetilde{\tau}\right) \leq e^{2n.(1+\varepsilon).\Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)} \tag{27}$$

for every large enough m and for every large enough n. Therefore (22), (26), and (27) yield for every large enough m and for every large enough n:

$$\int e^{\alpha.r_{\mathcal{B}_m}^n}\, d\mu_\phi \geq e^{n.(1+\varepsilon).(\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha)-\varepsilon)} - e^{2n.(1+\varepsilon).\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha)} - e^{n.(1+\varepsilon)(2\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_m}(\alpha)+\varepsilon)},$$

For fixed m, letting n go to $+\infty$ and using proposition 4.1 with $\alpha < 0$ we get for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\Psi_{\text{in}}(\alpha) \geq (1+\varepsilon)(\Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha) - \varepsilon). \tag{28}$$

When ε goes to 0, we get that $\Psi_{\text{in}}(\alpha) = \Psi_{\text{out}}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha < 0$.

References

1. R. Bowen, *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*, Lecture notes in Math **470**, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
2. J.-R. Chazottes, R. Leplaideur, Fluctuations of the nth return time for Axiom A diffeomorphisms, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Sys.* **13**-2 (2005) 399–411.
3. G. Keller, *Equilibrium states in ergodic theory*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 42, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
4. R. Leplaideur, Local product structure for equilibrium states, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352**-4 (2000) 811–827.
5. A. Dembo, O. Zeitouni, *Large deviations techniques & applications*, Math. **38**, Springer, 1998.
6. Ya. Pesin, *Dimension theory in dynamical systems: contemporary views and applications*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, Chicago University Press, 1997.
7. Ya. Pesin, B. Pitskel, Topological pressure and the variational principle for non-compact sets, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **18**-4 (1997) 50–63.
8. D. Ruelle, *Thermodynamic formalism*, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, vol. 5, Addison-Wesley, 1978.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, UMR 6205, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, 6 rue Victor Le Gorgeu BP 809 F - 29285 BREST Cedex

URL: `http://www.math.univ-brest.fr/ leplaideur`

E-mail address: `renaud.leplaideur@univ-brest.fr`

E-mail address: `benoit.saussol@univ-brest.fr`

URL: `http://www.math.univ-brest.fr/ saussol`